

DOI: 10.1111/jgs.17915

# Online geriatric education for health professions students

## INTRODUCTION

The specialty of geriatric medicine emphasizes interdisciplinary care to achieve patient-centered goals. Learners in geriatrics often participate in teams alongside other health professionals and health professions (HP) students.<sup>1</sup> With the aging population, there is a demand for all members of the healthcare workforce to be educated in geriatric principles of care.<sup>2</sup> There are published competencies for medical students, internal medicine (IM) and family medicine (FM) residents, and nurse practitioners (NP) and physician assistant (PA) students.<sup>3–5</sup>

Aquifer Geriatrics (AG), the national curriculum of the American Geriatrics Society<sup>6</sup> was originally designed for allopathic (MD) students; however, the cases were modified to be learner agnostic. AG consists of 27 competency-based, peer-reviewed, online case-based modules with embedded engagement questions and more recently, self-assessment questions in each case. AG allows for self-directed learning, complements learning from clinical experience, and fills the void created by the paucity of geriatrics educators in disseminating the 5Ms of geriatrics (Mind, Mobility, Medications, Multicomplexity, and Matters Most).<sup>7</sup> The curriculum addresses broad-ranging objectives including cognitive and behavioral skill development relevant to learners in all HP caring for older adults.

The AG cases have recently had substantial growth in use by non-MD students<sup>8</sup> especially by Doctor of Osteopathy (DO) students, IM and FM residents, Geriatrics Fellows, and NP and PA students. This letter describes the extent and pattern of use of AG cases and the level of satisfaction stratified by discipline, to inform the growth of AG to be inclusive of the various HP students' professional competencies.

## METHODS

Case completion, HP discipline obtained during program enrollment, and user satisfaction data for 26 AG cases from November 19, 2018 to June 30, 2021 was obtained from the Aquifer database. Case 27-Advance Care Planning, published in August 2020, was not included in the analysis. Of the three end-of-case questions (“This case was a valuable use of my time,” “I will apply what I learned to patient care,” and “I would recommend this case to another student”) required of every AG case completion, we used the rating for the question, “I would recommend this case to another student,” on a 1–5 Likert scale as a marker of learner satisfaction and as a proxy for perceived value of learning. We dichotomized the response by combining ratings of “4” and “5” to designate high level of satisfaction. The top five cases completed by each discipline were identified, along with the percentage of students completing each case. The University of Chicago IRB approved this project.

## RESULTS

Twenty-nine thousand eight hundred ninety-one students completed online AG cases during the study period. MD students (51.7%) were the highest utilizers of AG cases, followed by PA (23%), DO (18.6%), and NP (6.7%) learners.

As shown in Table 1, the dementia case was among the top five completed cases across all HP groups. The depression case was among the top five for DO, NP and PA students, but not for MD students. Delirium was noted in the top five among MD, DO and PA groups, but not for NP students. The anticoagulants case was highly utilized by NP and PA students. The DO group (59%) had the highest proportion of students completing the top case compared to MD (44%), NP (39%), and PA (37%) groups.

**TABLE 1** Aquifer case usage (November 19, 2018–June 30, 2021)

		MD	PA	DO	NP
Number of unique students (n, %)	29,891 (100)	15,458 (51.7)	6859 (23.0)	5571 (18.6)	2003 (6.7)
Top five completed cases by discipline (% of all learners in that discipline)	1	Dementia (44.3)	Depression (36.6)	Delirium (59.1)	Anticoagulants (38.7)
	2	Prognosis and screening (34.3)	Anticoagulants (34.1)	Depression (57.9)	Urinary incontinence (36.8)
	3	Functional status/home safety (31.5)	Dementia (33.9)	Dementia (48.8)	Depression (30.8)
	4	Falls (31.1)	Elder abuse (32.2)	Urinary tract infection (34.8)	Dementia (27.8)
	5	Delirium (30.1)	Delirium (31.7)	Agitation (34.2)	Benign prostatic hyperplasia/urinary concerns (27.5)

Abbreviations: DO, Doctor of Osteopathy; MD, Medical Doctor; NP, nurse practitioners; PA, physician assistant.

The cases with the highest level of satisfaction (rated highly by  $\geq 85\%$ ) were anticoagulants, dementia, depression, elder abuse, abdominal pain, and nursing home acquired pneumonia. Only the osteopathic evaluation of neck pain case received lower satisfaction rating relative to others (rated highly by 65%). When stratified by HP, 82% (MD), 73% (DO), 95% (NP), and 88% (PA) students rated cases highly.

## DISCUSSION

This analysis found that AG cases, which were designed to fulfill medical student competencies, were not only highly utilized by all HP students, but also associated with high levels of satisfaction across disciplines. HP students often would recommend the content in the AG cases to others, indicating they found it relevant and meaningful. The topics most often completed by learners varied somewhat, likely reflecting how their faculty assigned cases.

Virtual learning has been demonstrated as an effective way to teach geriatrics.<sup>6,8,9</sup> The COVID-19 pandemic hastened the adoption of virtual learning by HP programs with durable effects, as even after the return to in-person rotations, case utilization is greater than fourfold higher compared to pre-pandemic levels.<sup>8</sup> Given similarities in geriatric competencies, AG has the ability to serve as an integrated virtual platform to meet educational goals of all HP students.

Limitations include the lack of information about how AG cases were integrated into the curriculum and assigned by course directors in individual institutions and disciplines, which could impact overall usage and satisfaction.

In summary, whether MD, DO, NP, or PA students, learners were highly satisfied with AG cases. Developing online learning that is highly utilized and well regarded by all geriatric practitioners is a primary goal of academic geriatrics. This work suggests that the AG cases could be used and evaluated as a common curriculum for HP students.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to study concept and design, acquisition of subjects and data, analysis and interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Sang Mee Lee for her assistance with the data analysis, other members of the Aquifer Geriatrics consortium—Drs. Kathryn Denson, Becky Powers, Mandi Sehgal, Quratulain Syed, and Erin Zahradnik, for their support, and Dr. Valerie Lang for her review of the manuscript.

## FUNDING INFORMATION

Health Resources and Services Administration, Grant/Award Number: K01HP39479; Carol and George Abramson Fund for Aging and Longevity.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST



The authors have no interests to declare.

## SPONSOR'S ROLE

The funding sources were not involved in the design, analysis, or reporting of the results.

## FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

All authors serve on the Aquifer Consortium and receive a nominal annual honorarium for their services. Dr. Lauren J. Gleason is supported by Grant # K01HP39479 from the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) and Carol and George Abramson Fund for Aging and Longevity.

Lauren J. Gleason MD, MPH, AGSF<sup>1</sup>   
 Amit Shah MD, AGSF<sup>2</sup>  
 Rosanne M. Leipzig MD, PhD<sup>3</sup>  
 Ravishankar Ramaswamy MD, AGSF<sup>3</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Section of Geriatrics and Palliative Medicine, University of Chicago Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA

<sup>2</sup>Mayo Clinic Alix School of Medicine, Scottsdale, Arizona, USA

<sup>3</sup>Brookdale Department of Geriatrics and Palliative Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

## Correspondence

Lauren J. Gleason, Department of Medicine, Section of Geriatrics and Palliative Medicine, University of Chicago, 5841 South Maryland Avenue, MC 6098, Chicago, IL 60637, USA.

Email: [lgleason@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu](mailto:lgleason@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu)

## ORCID

Ravishankar Ramaswamy  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5253-9508>

## TWITTER

Lauren J. Gleason  @ljjg\_gleason

## REFERENCES

1. Position statement on interdisciplinary team training in geriatrics: an essential component of quality health care for older adults. *J Am Geriatr.* 2014;62(5):961-965. doi:10.1111/jgs.12822
2. Preparing the Current and Future Health Care Workforce for Interprofessional Practice in Sustainable, Age-Friendly Health Systems. Accessed September 28, 2021. <https://www.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/hrsa/advisory-committees/community-based-linkages/reports/seventeenth-2019.pdf>
3. Adult-Gerontology Acute Care And Primary Care NP Competencies. Accessed November 30, 2021. <https://www.aacnursing.org/Portals/42/AcademicNursing/pdf/Adult-Gero-NP-Comp-2016.pdf>
4. Competencies and Milestones. Accessed November 30, 2021. <https://adgap.americangeriatrics.org/education-training/competencies-and-milestones>
5. Leipzig RM, Granville L, Simpson D, Anderson MB, Sauvigne K, Soriano RP. Keeping granny safe on July 1: a consensus on minimum geriatrics competencies for graduating medical students. *Acad Med.* 2009;84(5):604-610. doi:10.1097/ACM.0b013e31819fab70
6. Sehgal M, Syed Q, Callahan KE, et al. Introducing Aquifer Geriatrics, the American Geriatrics Society National Online Curriculum. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2019;67(4):811-817. doi:10.1111/jgs.15813
7. Tinetti M, Huang A, Molnar F. The geriatrics 5M's: a new way of communicating what we do. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2017;65(9):2115. doi:10.1111/jgs.14979
8. Ramaswamy R, Shah AA, Denson KM, et al. Teaching geriatrics during the COVID-19 pandemic: Aquifer Geriatrics to the rescue. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2021;69(7):1740-1742. doi:10.1111/jgs.17169
9. Ramaswamy R, Leipzig RM, Howe CL, Sauvigne K, Usiak C, Soriano RP. The portal of geriatrics online education: a 21st-century resource for teaching geriatrics. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2015; 63(2):335-340. doi:10.1111/jgs.13246